# Student Performance Review 

 OSPresentation to the Board of Education
November 10, 2015

## Irvington High School Regents Diplomas Awarded

| Year | Students | Graduates | Regents <br> Diplomas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 155 | 151 | $96 \%$ |
| 2012 | 142 | 142 | $96 \%$ |
| 2013 | 150 | 146 | $97 \%$ |
| 2014 | 134 | 146 | $95 \%$ |
| 2015 | 130 | $95 \%$ |  |

cas 5 Mandated Regents cos English cs Integrated Algebra OS Global History cos US History $\omega_{3}$ Living Environment

## Irvington High School National Merit Finalists

| Class of: | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Semi- <br> Finalists | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Finalists | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | $?$ |

## Irvington High School SAT Scores

| Major <br> Sections <br> of the SAT | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical <br> Reading | 575 | 580 | 571 | 606 | 606 |
| Math | 577 | 589 | 583 | 624 | 608 |
| Writing | 585 | 583 | 573 | 617 | 609 |

## National <br> Averages

| Major Sections of the SAT | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Critical Reading | 495 |
| Math | 511 |
| Writing | 484 |

## Irvington High School Scholar Athletes



CR 2014-15: 16 varsity teams honored as NYS Scholar Athlete teams ( above 90 avg ) and 1 team was a NYS Scholar Athlete Champion as highest GPA's in the state

# Irvington Union Free School District 

 03
## 2015 Student Performance Review

## Irvington High School

Advanced Placement Courses Offered, including Exam Participation and Passing Data

| School Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Courses | 17 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| N Taking | 500 | 555 | 590 | 557 | 526 |
| N Passing | 336 | 381 | 368 | 367 | 405 |
| Enrollment | 612 | 608 | 594 | 559 | 530 |

## Purpose of Student Performance Review

©RTo answer the question, "how are our schools doing?"
$\propto$ ©o improve student performance
caTo review multi-year performance to see trends within content areas
© To guide professional development
$\infty$ © To guide curriculum development
casTo target interventions in specific content areas

## Irvington High School AP Scores

 0
## English Language and Composition

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
| 4 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 19 |
| 3 | 15 | 15 | 27 | 25 | 23 |
| 2 | 6 | 11 | 33 | 14 | 13 |
| 1 |  | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| Total Exams | 53 | 54 | 91 | 74 | 69 |
| Mean Score | 3.77 | 3.04 | 2.85 | 3.2 | 3.32 |

## English Literature and Composition

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 4 |
| 4 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 16 |
| 3 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 15 |
| 2 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 23 | 8 |
| 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Total Exams | 45 | 44 | 46 | 69 | 46 |
| Mean Score | 2.96 | 3.07 | 2.98 | 3.04 | 3.22 |

## Calculus AB

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Total Exams | 10 | 19 | 16 | 27 | 16 |
| Mean Score | 3.4 | 3.74 | 3.38 | 3.07 | 3.25 |

## Calculus BC

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| 4 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 |  | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |
| Total Exams | 19 | 18 | 20 | 24 | 18 |
| Mean Score | 4.16 | 4.61 | 3.9 | 3.63 | 4.17 |

## Biology

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Total Exam | 43 | 23 | 42 | 14 | 15 |
| Mean Score | 3.07 | 2.96 | 3.12 | 3.57 | 3.47 |

## Environmental Science

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 2 |  | 8 | 13 | 16 | 9 |
| 1 |  | 10 | 27 | 18 | 9 |
| Total Exams | 2 | 24 | 48 | 47 | 39 |
| Mean Score | 3.5 | 2 | 1.73 | 2.09 | 2.69 |

## Chemistry

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 10 |
| 3 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 15 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Total Exams | 17 | 17 | 42 | 20 | 37 |
| Mean Score | 3.24 | 3 | 3.5 | 3.05 | 3.22 |

## World History

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 30 | 10 | 15 | 25 |
| 4 |  | 12 | 11 | 15 | 2 |
| 3 | 16 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Exams |  | 59 | 25 | 31 | 27 |
| Mean Score |  | 4.2 | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.93 |

## United States History

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 20 | 25 |
| 4 | 27 | 25 | 34 | 15 | 36 |
| 3 | 24 | 27 | 28 | 18 | 13 |
| 2 | 14 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 10 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 2 |
| Total Exams | 81 | 91 | 98 | 78 | 86 |
| Mean Score | 3.41 | 3.34 | 3.27 | 3.27 | 3.84 |

## Psychology

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 20 |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 9 |
| 2 |  | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| 1 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Total Exams | 17 | 29 | 18 | 38 | 44 |
| Mean Score | 3.59 | 2.66 | 3.11 | 3.18 | 3.23 |

## Macroeconomics

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 |
| 3 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 9 | 11 |
| 2 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 21 | 10 |
| 1 | 27 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 21 |
| Total Exams | 58 | 68 | 52 | 62 | 56 |
| Mean Score | 2.09 | 2.34 | 2.21 | 2.4 | 2.43 |

## Microeconomics

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| 4 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| 3 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Total Exams | 20 | 35 | 20 | 11 | 33 |
| Mean Score | 2.8 | 2.91 | 3.25 | 3 | 3.45 |

## French Language and Culture

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 |  | 3 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| 3 |  | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total Exams |  | 10 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean Score |  | 3.9 | 4 | 3.5 | 3.33 |

## Spanish Language and Culture

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | 5 |  | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total Exams | 13 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 5 |
| Mean Score | 3.38 | 4 | 2.85 | 4.11 | 4.20 |

## Latin

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 3 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 4 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Total Exams | 18 | 14 | 10 | 29 | 10 |
| Mean Score | 2.44 | 3 | 2.9 | 2.83 | 3.5 |

## Studio Art: 2-D Design Portfolio

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |
| 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Exams | 6 |  | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| Mean Score | 3 |  | 3 | 3.5 | 5.00 |

## Studio Art: Drawing Portfolio

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Exams | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Mean Score | 3.5 | 4.33 | 4 | 3.8 | 3.67 |

## Music Theory

| Score | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total Exams | 12 | 12 | 7 | 1 |  |
| Mean Score | 2.67 | 3.17 | 2.14 | 4.00 | 4.60 |

## Looking at the Data

a Value of comparative data<br>cosLimitations of comparative data

## Mathematics

 os
## Cohort for Comparison

## 03

$\propto$ Ardsley<br>cos Blind Brook<br>ç Briarcliff Manor<br>$\propto$ Bronxville<br>as Byram Hills<br>$\propto$ Chappaqua<br>cr Dobbs Ferry

~ Edgemont
cer Hastings-on-Hudson
© Irvington
$\propto$ @ Pleasantville
$\propto$ Rye Neck
cos Scarsdale

## Grades 3-8 Mathematics Levels 3 \& 4

| Year | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $76 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 2012 | $85 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2013 | $61 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| 2014 | $67 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 2015 | $62 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

2013 represents new cut scores and scale scoring for Grades 3-8

## $20153^{\text {rd }}$ Grade Mathematics

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 96 | 341 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 27.1 | 55.2 | 82.3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 118 | 338 | 5.1 | 13.6 | 33.9 | 47.5 | 81.4 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 111 | 339 | 6.3 | 14.4 | 31.5 | 47.7 | 79.3 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 130 | 334 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 31.5 | 46.2 | 77.7 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 87 | 340 | 8 | 14.9 | 19.5 | 57.5 | 77 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 159 | 331 | 6.9 | 19.5 | 35.2 | 38.4 | 73.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 351 | 329 | 6 | 22.2 | 37 | 34.8 | 71.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 276 | 329 | 6.5 | 22.1 | 31.9 | 39.5 | 71.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 96 | 328 | 10.4 | 19.8 | 29.2 | 40.6 | 69.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 139 | 322 | 13.7 | 20.1 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 66.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 122 | 323 | 4.1 | 32.8 | 33.6 | 29.5 | 63.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Irvington UFSD | 124 | 315 | 14.5 | 22.6 | 37.1 | 25.8 | 62.9 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 85 | 315 | 18.8 | 30.6 | 25.9 | 24.7 | 50.6 |

## $20154^{\text {th }}$ Grade Mathematics <br> Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Bronxville UFSD | 141 | 339 | 1.4 | 14.2 | 36.9 | 47.5 | 84.4 |
| 4 | Edgemont UFSD | 126 | 336 | 3.2 | 13.5 | 39.7 | 43.7 | 83.3 |
| 5 | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 112 | 336 | 3.6 | 15.2 | 30.4 | 50.9 | 81.3 |
| 6 | Scarsdale UFSD | 352 | 339 | 3.4 | 16.5 | 30.4 | 49.7 | 80.1 |
| 7 | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 95 | 339 | 4.2 | 15.8 | 28.4 | 51.6 | 80 |
| 9 | Pleasantville UFSD | 107 | 328 | 10.3 | 13.1 | 36.4 | 40.2 | 76.6 |
| 11 | Byram Hills CSD | 143 | 331 | 6.3 | 19.6 | 35.7 | 38.5 | 74.1 |
| 12 | Chappaqua CSD | 274 | 336 | 4.4 | 21.9 | 24.5 | 49.3 | 73.7 |
| 13 | Irvington UFSD | 114 | 330 | 4.4 | 22.8 | 34.2 | 38.6 | 72.8 |
| 17 | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 103 | 330 | 1.9 | 28.2 | 32 | 37.9 | 69.9 |
| 20 | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 94 | 323 | 8.5 | 22.3 | 40.4 | 28.7 | 69.1 |
| 20 | Rye Neck UFSD | 94 | 328 | 9.6 | 21.3 | 28.7 | 40.4 | 69.1 |
| 24 | Ardsley UFSD | 143 | 322 | 4.9 | 30.1 | 37.8 | 27.3 | 65 |

## $20155^{\text {th }}$ Grade Mathematics

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 101 | 340 | 4 | 11.9 | 38.6 | 45.5 | 84.2 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 76 | 332 | 6.6 | 15.8 | 50 | 27.6 | 77.6 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 284 | 336 | 6 | 17.6 | 39.8 | 36.6 | 76.4 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Irvington UFSD | 134 | 340 | 3.7 | 20.9 | 32.8 | 42.5 | 75.4 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 102 | 329 | 5.9 | 19.6 | 49 | 25.5 | 74.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 380 | 330 | 6.8 | 20 | 44.7 | 28.4 | 73.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 117 | 339 | 9.4 | 17.9 | 23.9 | 48.7 | 72.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 156 | 330 | 7.1 | 21.8 | 45.5 | 25.6 | 71.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 96 | 329 | 16.7 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 33.3 | 70.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 136 | 329 | 13.2 | 16.2 | 39 | 31.6 | 70.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 193 | 329 | 9.8 | 22.3 | 37.8 | 30.1 | 67.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 154 | 328 | 9.7 | 22.7 | 39.6 | 27.9 | 67.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 108 | 321 | 19.4 | 18.5 | 34.3 | 27.8 | 62 |

## $20156^{\text {th }}$ Grade Mathematics

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 190 | 343 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 23.7 | 62.1 | 85.8 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 296 | 343 | 3.4 | 14.2 | 23.3 | 59.1 | 82.4 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 111 | 341 | 1.8 | 16.2 | 20.7 | 61.3 | 82 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 353 | 339 | 4 | 15.9 | 30.3 | 49.9 | 80.2 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 152 | 337 | 3.9 | 16.4 | 31.6 | 48 | 79.6 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 144 | 334 | 6.3 | 16 | 36.1 | 41.7 | 77.8 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 162 | 340 | 7.4 | 14.8 | 21 | 56.8 | 77.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Irvington UFSD | 117 | 337 | 5.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 52.1 | 73.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 81 | 333 | 7.4 | 19.8 | 24.7 | 48.1 | 72.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 126 | 333 | 4.8 | 26.2 | 22.2 | 46.8 | 69 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 73 | 323 | 9.6 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 60.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 86 | 323 | 12.8 | 29.1 | 24.4 | 33.7 | 58.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 98 | 321 | 9.2 | 34.7 | 31.6 | 24.5 | 56.1 |

## $20157^{\text {th }}$ Grade Mathematics <br> Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level <br> $3 / 4$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 334 | 341 | 2.4 | 15.9 | 39.5 | 42.2 | 81.7 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 113 | 341 | 4.4 | 14.2 | 42.5 | 38.9 | 81.4 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 135 | 340 | 4.4 | 17.8 | 39.3 | 38.5 | 77.8 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 177 | 341 | 5.6 | 16.9 | 31.6 | 45.8 | 77.4 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 341 | 335 | 4.7 | 22.3 | 36.7 | 36.4 | 73 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 77 | 333 | 11.7 | 15.6 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 72.7 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 132 | 334 | 9.1 | 19.7 | 36.4 | 34.8 | 71.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 137 | 334 | 7.3 | 23.4 | 38.7 | 30.7 | 69.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 101 | 330 | 5 | 28.7 | 41.6 | 24.8 | 66.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 89 | 325 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 66.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Irvington UFSD | 122 | 330 | 12.3 | 23 | 30.3 | 34.4 | 64.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 96 | 322 | 13.5 | 26 | 43.8 | 16.7 | 60.4 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 101 | 325 | 8.9 | 31.7 | 31.7 | 27.7 | 59.4 |

## 2015 8 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade Mathematics <br> Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chappaqua CSD | 274 | 346 | 3.3 | 14.2 | 31.4 | 51.1 | 96.7 |
| 3 | Pleasantville UFSD | 91 | 338 | 3.3 | 22 | 38.5 | 36.3 | 96.7 |
| 5 | Scarsdale UFSD | 348 | 337 | 5.7 | 23.3 | 28.2 | 42.8 | 94.3 |
| 6 | Bronxville UFSD | 139 | 330 | 9.4 | 20.9 | 44.6 | 25.2 | 90.6 |
| 8 | Edgemont UFSD | 125 | 331 | 9.6 | 24 | 29.6 | 36.8 | 90.4 |
| 9 | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 96 | 330 | 5.2 | 29.2 | 41.7 | 24 | 94.8 |
| 10 | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 81 | 325 | 9.9 | 27.2 | 43.2 | 19.8 | 90.1 |
| 10 | Rye Neck UFSD | 46 | 323 | 10.9 | 26.1 | 45.7 | 17.4 | 89.1 |
| 12 | Irvington UFSD | 69 | 325 | 8.7 | 29 | 46.4 | 15.9 | 91.3 |
| 14 | Ardsley UFSD | 135 | 328 | 11.1 | 29.6 | 28.1 | 31.1 | 88.9 |
| 15 | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 63 | 324 | 4.8 | 36.5 | 46 | 12.7 | 95.2 |
| 17 | Byram Hills CSD | 107 | 322 | 9.3 | 38.3 | 36.4 | 15.9 | 90.7 |
| 36 | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 42 | 297 | 31 | 64.3 | 4.8 | 0 | 69 |

## Mathematics - Areas of Strength

©s Grade 3: Multiply one-digit whole numbers by multiples of 10 in the range 1090 (e.g., $9 \times 80$ ) using strategies based on place value and properties of operations.

O3 Grade 4: Recognize that in a multi-digit whole number, a digit in one place represents ten times what it represents in the place to its right.

CO Grade 5: Add, subtract, multiply, and divide decimals to hundredths, using concrete models or drawings and strategies based on place value, properties of operations, and/ or the relationship between addition and subtraction; relate the strategy to a written method
©s Grade 6: Interpret and compute quotients of fractions, and solve word problems involving division of fractions by fractions.

O3 Grade 7: Understand that rewriting an expression in different forms in a problem context can shed light on the problem and how the quantities in it are related.

03 Grade 8: Solve systems of two linear equations in two variables algebraically, and estimate solutions by graphing the equations.

## Mathematics Opportunities for Growth

©3 Grade 3: - Draw a scaled picture graph and a scaled bar graph to represent a data set with several categories. Solve one- and two-step "how many more" and "how many less" problems using information presented in scaled bar graphs.
$\mathcal{O}$ Grade 4: Multiply a whole number of up to four digits by a one-digit whole number, and multiply two two-digit numbers, using strategies based on place value and the properties of operations
cs Grade 5: Interpret division of a unit fraction by a non-zero whole number, and compute such quotients.
© Grade 6: All above region
$\omega_{3}$ Grade 7: Solve problems involving scale drawings of geometric figures, including computing actual lengths and areas from a scale drawing and reproducing a scale drawing at a different scale.
$\omega_{3}$ Grade 8: Use numbers expressed in the form of a single digit times an integer power of 10 to estimate very large or very small quantities, and to express how many times as much one is than the other..

## Irvington High School \& Irvington Middle School Math A Regents \& Integrated Algebra

| Year | 0-54\% |  | 55-64\% | 65-84\% | 85-100\% | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IA 2011 | 1\% |  | 1\% | 39\% | 58\% | 97\% |
| IA 2012 | 1\% |  | 1\% | 41\% | 57\% | 98\% |
| IA 2013 | 0\% |  | 3\% | 34\% | 63\% | 97\% |
| IA 2014 | 1\% |  | 2\% | 45\% | 52\% | 97\% |
| Regents CCLS | Below 55 | 55-64 | 65-73 | 74-84 | 85-100 | Total <br> Passing |
| 2014 | 0\% | 1\% | 24\% | 64\% | 11\% | 74\% |
| 2015 | 4\% | 5\% | 35\% | 33\% | 21\% | 89\% |

## Irvington High School Algebra/Trigonometry

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $55-64 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0} \%$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 2012 | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 2013 | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| 2014 | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $92 \% *$ |
| 2015 | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## Irvington High School <br> Geometry Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0} \%$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $100 \% *$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1} \%$ | $3 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{C C L S}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 - 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0}$ | Total Passing |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $4 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1} \%$ | $34 \%$ | $96 \%$ |

* College readiness (Math: Scored 80 or above)


## English Language Arts

 03
## Grades 3-8 English Language Arts Levels 3 \& 4

| Year | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $82 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $55 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $52 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ |

As of July, 2010, NYSED updated its definitions for achievement levels. \%Lev1 is defined as "Below Standard". \%Lev2 is defined as "Meets Basic Standard". \%Lev3 is defined as "Meets Proficiency Standard". \%Lev4 is defined as "Exceeds Proficiency Standard". See the NYSED web site for more information. 2013 represents new cut scores and scale scoring

## Cohort for Comparison

## 03

c Ardsley<br>$\infty$ Blind Brook<br>c< Briarcliff Manor<br>as Bronxville<br>ca Byram Hills<br>\& Chappaqua<br>as Dobbs Ferry

œ Edgemont
$\propto$ Hastings-on-Hudson
$\infty$ Irvington
a Pleasantville
$\propto$ Rye Neck
ces Scarsdale

## 2015 3 rd Grade English Language Arts

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 111 | 325 | 9 | 20.7 | 63.1 | 7.2 | 70.3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 116 | 328 | 7.8 | 25.9 | 51.7 | 14.7 | 66.4 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 132 | 321 | 12.9 | 25.8 | 52.3 | 9.1 | 61.4 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 275 | 322 | 11.3 | 28.7 | 49.1 | 10.9 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 98 | 320 | 12.2 | 28.6 | 49 | 10.2 | 59.2 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 162 | 318 | 9.9 | 32.1 | 54.9 | 3.1 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 348 | 321 | 9.5 | 32.5 | 50 | 8 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 120 | 321 | 17.5 | 25 | 45 | 12.5 | 57.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 86 | 323 | 9.3 | 34.9 | 47.7 | 8.1 | 55.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 93 | 314 | 18.3 | 28 | 49.5 | 4.3 | 53.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 86 | 315 | 22.1 | 24.4 | 41.9 | 11.6 | 53.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Irvington UFSD | 123 | 310 | 19.5 | 28.5 | 47.2 | 4.9 | 52 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 140 | 309 | 22.1 | 34.3 | 35 | 8.6 | 43.6 |

## $20154^{\text {th }}$ Grade English Language Arts

 Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 128 | 331 | 3.1 | 19.5 | 46.9 | 30.5 | 77.3 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 275 | 330 | 5.1 | 24 | 38.2 | 32.7 | 70.9 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 114 | 324 | 6.1 | 23.7 | 50 | 20.2 | 70.2 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 353 | 328 | 4.5 | 25.5 | 41.4 | 28.6 | 70 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 141 | 328 | 5.7 | 26.2 | 36.2 | 31.9 | 68.1 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 94 | 328 | 6.4 | 25.5 | 38.3 | 29.8 | 68.1 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Irvington UFSD | 116 | 327 | 4.3 | 28.4 | 39.7 | 27.6 | 67.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 147 | 324 | 8.2 | 27.2 | 36.7 | 27.9 | 64.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 110 | 321 | 15.5 | 22.7 | 32.7 | 29.1 | 61.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 94 | 322 | 11.7 | 29.8 | 31.9 | 26.6 | 58.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 96 | 321 | 11.5 | 34.4 | 22.9 | 31.3 | 54.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 99 | 316 | 10.1 | 38.4 | 34.3 | 17.2 | 51.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 144 | 316 | 9.7 | 42.4 | 31.3 | 16.7 | 47.9 |

## $20155^{\text {th }}$ Grade English Language Arts

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level $\mathbf{1}$ | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 158 | 326 | 6.3 | 22.8 | 49.4 | 21.5 | 70.9 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 102 | 326 | 4.9 | 30.4 | 41.2 | 23.5 | 64.7 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Irvington UFSD | 133 | 324 | 9.8 | 27.1 | 41.4 | 21.8 | 63.2 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 136 | 324 | 2.2 | 37.5 | 39.7 | 20.6 | 60.3 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 287 | 323 | 9.1 | 30.7 | 37.3 | 23 | 60.3 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 192 | 322 | 8.3 | 32.3 | 37 | 22.4 | 59.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 101 | 317 | 13.9 | 29.7 | 37.6 | 18.8 | 56.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 100 | 316 | 10 | 34 | 46 | 10 | 56 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 118 | 321 | 14.4 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 25.4 | 55.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 377 | 321 | 8 | 37.1 | 35.8 | 19.1 | 54.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 75 | 316 | 9.3 | 38.7 | 41.3 | 10.7 | 52 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 108 | 318 | 15.7 | 36.1 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 48.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 159 | 314 | 13.2 | 41.5 | 30.2 | 15.1 | 45.3 |

## 2015 6 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade English Language Arts

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 193 | 332 | 7.8 | 16.6 | 24.9 | 50.8 | 75.6 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 102 | 324 | 6.9 | 23.5 | 33.3 | 36.3 | 69.6 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 144 | 330 | 4.9 | 27.1 | 23.6 | 44.4 | 68.1 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Irvington UFSD | 119 | 327 | 4.2 | 29.4 | 26.1 | 40.3 | 66.4 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 111 | 325 | 4.5 | 30.6 | 33.3 | 31.5 | 64.9 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 162 | 324 | 6.8 | 29 | 28.4 | 35.8 | 64.2 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 363 | 324 | 5.2 | 32.2 | 30.3 | 32.2 | 62.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 77 | 322 | 5.2 | 32.5 | 28.6 | 33.8 | 62.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 156 | 319 | 5.8 | 35.9 | 34 | 24.4 | 58.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 296 | 323 | 5.4 | 36.8 | 25.3 | 32.4 | 57.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 74 | 324 | 8.1 | 39.2 | 12.2 | 40.5 | 52.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 85 | 317 | 10.6 | 40 | 25.9 | 23.5 | 49.4 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 128 | 314 | 10.9 | 44.5 | 28.1 | 16.4 | 44.5 |

## $20157^{\text {th }}$ Grade English Language Arts

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 132 | 323 | 6.1 | 24.2 | 53.8 | 15.9 | 69.7 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 335 | 324 | 6 | 26.3 | 51.9 | 15.8 | 67.8 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 116 | 320 | 9.5 | 23.3 | 57.8 | 9.5 | 67.2 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 347 | 322 | 8.1 | 27.1 | 49.3 | 15.6 | 64.8 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 107 | 321 | 10.3 | 26.2 | 46.7 | 16.8 | 63.6 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 138 | 321 | 7.2 | 31.2 | 45.7 | 15.9 | 61.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Irvington UFSD | 127 | 316 | 17.3 | 23.6 | 44.9 | 14.2 | 59.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 83 | 316 | 12 | 30.1 | 48.2 | 9.6 | 57.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 92 | 315 | 18.5 | 25 | 40.2 | 16.3 | 56.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 184 | 318 | 12 | 32.1 | 37.5 | 18.5 | 56 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 101 | 308 | 15.8 | 38.6 | 38.6 | 6.9 | 45.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 139 | 309 | 14.4 | 40.3 | 34.5 | 10.8 | 45.3 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 107 | 307 | 16.8 | 38.3 | 40.2 | 4.7 | 44.9 |

## 2015 8 $^{\text {th }}$ Grade English Language Arts

Irvington UFSD Compared with 12 Westchester Districts

| Rank | District | Tested | Mean | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 3/4 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Byram Hills CSD | 207 | 334 | 4.8 | 12.1 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 83.1 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Hastings-On-Hudson UFSD | 109 | 331 | 4.6 | 14.7 | 45.9 | 34.9 | 80.7 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Chappaqua CSD | 275 | 327 | 5.1 | 17.8 | 48.4 | 28.7 | 77.1 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Irvington UFSD | 130 | 327 | 7.7 | 16.9 | 40.8 | 34.6 | 75.4 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Scarsdale UFSD | 328 | 324 | 5.5 | 22.3 | 50.6 | 21.6 | 72.3 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | Bronxville UFSD | 139 | 327 | 5 | 23.7 | 41.7 | 29.5 | 71.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Briarcliff Manor UFSD | 105 | 322 | 5.7 | 30.5 | 41 | 22.9 | 63.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Pleasantville UFSD | 91 | 321 | 4.4 | 33 | 42.9 | 19.8 | 62.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | Rye Neck UFSD | 72 | 319 | 5.6 | 31.9 | 45.8 | 16.7 | 62.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | Blind Brook-Rye UFSD | 99 | 318 | 11.1 | 27.3 | 41.4 | 20.2 | 61.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | Dobbs Ferry UFSD | 91 | 321 | 7.7 | 34.1 | 31.9 | 26.4 | 58.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | Edgemont UFSD | 137 | 317 | 11.7 | 30.7 | 35.8 | 21.9 | 57.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | Ardsley UFSD | 136 | 314 | 14 | 28.7 | 44.9 | 12.5 | 57.4 |

## Irvington High School English Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | Total Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ | $1 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $2 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $1 \%$ |  | $4 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $9 \%$ |  | $6 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| CCLS | Below <br> 55 | $55-64$ | $65-78$ | $79-84$ | $85-100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8 \%$ | Total Passing |

* College readiness (ELA: Scored 75 or above)

88\%

## ELA <br> Areas of Strength

os Grade 3: Determine the main idea of text, recount the key details and explain how they support the main idea. It may not be "notable" but we are consistently above region in this area when I opened the questions.
©3 Grade 4: Use context (e.g., definitions, examples, or restatements in text) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase.
©s Grade 5: Use knowledge of language and its conventions when writing, speaking, reading, or listening.
©3 Grade 6: Analyze how a particular sentence, paragraph, chapter, or section fits into the overall structure of a text and contributes to the development of the ideas.

Os Grade 7: Analyze the structure an author uses to organize a text, including how the major sections contribute to the whole and to the development of the ideas.

O3 Grade 8: Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.

## ELA <br> Opportunities for Growth

Os Grade 3: Demonstrate command of the conventions of standards English grammar and usage when writing or speaking.
©s Grade 4: Demonstrate command of the conventions of standards English grammar and usage when writing or speaking
$\mathscr{O}$ Grade 5: Determine a theme of a story, drama, or poem from details in the text, including how characters in a story or drama respond to challenges or how the speaker in a poem reflects upon a topic; summarize the text.
cs Grade 6: Determine an author-s point of view or purpose in a text and explain how it is conveyed in the text.
©s Grade 7: Cite several pieces of textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text.
©s Grade 8: Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to the characters, setting, and plot; provide an objective summary of the text.

# Science OS 

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Science

| Year | Levels 1 \& 2 | Level 3 \& 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $1 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| 2012 | $2 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 2013 | $2 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 2014 | $2 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 2015 |  | $98 \%$ |

## $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Science

| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3 + 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $1 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1} \%$ | $16 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## Irvington High School \& Irvington Middle School Physical Setting/Earth Science Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| 2012 | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2013 | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 2014 | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2015 | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## Irvington High School Living Environment Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $55-64 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 2012 | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| 2013 | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 2015 | $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## Irvington High School Chemistry Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0} \%$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $2 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2012 | $1 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2013 | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $91 \%$ |

## Social Studies

OS

## Irvington High School Global History Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0 \%}$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| 2012 | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2013 | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 2014 | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2015 | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $89 \%$ |

## Irvington High School <br> US History Regents

| Year | $\mathbf{0 - 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 - 1 0 0} \%$ | Total <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| 2012 | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 2013 | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| 2015 | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

# Different Ways to Look at Data 

 08
## Cohort Example Current 9th Grade

| Year/Grade | \# tested | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ 3 \& 4^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | \# tested | Mathematics $3 \& 4$ 's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009-2010-3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ | 123 | 89\% | 123 | 80\% |
| 2010-2011-4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 130 | 90\% | 131 | 89\% |
| 2011-2012-5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 137 | 88\% | 138 | 94\% |
| 2012-2013-6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 140 | 74\% | 138 | 67\% |
| 2013-2014-7th | 144 | 63\% | 143 | 71\% |
| 2014-2015-8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 130 | 75\% | 69 | 62\% |

## Some History . . . OS

## Irvington High School Regents Diplomas Awarded

| Year | Students | Graduates | Regents Diplomas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 102 | 101 | $78 \%$ |
| 2003 | 94 | 91 | $82 \%$ |
| 2004 | 124 | 123 | $95 \%$ |
| 2005 | 138 | 134 | $97 \%$ |
| 2006 | 122 | 119 | $96 \%$ |
| 2007 | 141 | 138 | $92 \%$ |
| 2008 | 157 | 145 | $93 \%$ |
| 2009 | 172 | 169 | $99 \%$ |
| 2010 | 149 | 146 | $95 \%$ |
| 2011 | 155 | 151 | $96 \%$ |
| 2012 | 142 | 142 | $96 \%$ |
| 2013 | 150 | 146 | $97 \%$ |
| 2014 | 148 | 146 | $95 \%$ |
| 2015 | 134 | 130 | $95 \%$ |

## Irvington High School Number of AP Courses Offered

| Year | \# of Courses |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 11 |
| 2003 | 15 |
| 2004 | 15 |
| 2005 | 18 |
| 2006 | 17 |
| 2007 | 19 |
| 2008 | 18 |
| 2009 | 17 |
| 2010 | 17 |
| 2011 | 17 |
| 2012 | 19 |
| 2013 | 19 |
| 2014 | 17 |
| 2015 | 18 |

## AP Exam - Participation and Passing Rates

| Year | Enrollment | \# Taking | \# Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 425 | 188 | 149 |
| 2003 | 480 | 231 | 160 |
| 2004 | 530 | 394 | 296 |
| 2005 | 550 | 416 | 291 |
| 2006 | 597 | 384 | 272 |
| 2007 | 600 | 410 | 266 |
| 2008 | 608 | 457 | 289 |
| 2009 | 611 | 536 | 329 |
| 2010 | 607 | 524 | 304 |
| 2011 | 612 | 500 | 336 |
| 2012 | 608 | 555 | 381 |
| 2013 | 594 | 590 | 368 |
| 2014 | 559 | 557 | 367 |
| 2015 | 530 | 526 | 405 |

# Irvington High School Scholar Athletes Recognized by Team 

NYS Scholar Athlete $=90$ or higher GPA
© 2002-03: 14 Teams Honored, 4 Teams with Top Student Averages in the Group
© 2003-04: 16 Teams Honored, 2 Teams with NYS Highest GPA, 4 League Champions
© 2004-05: 14 Teams Honored, 3 Teams with NYS Highest GPA, 2 League Champions
Q 2005-06: 14 Teams Honored
Cs 2007-08: 20 recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams. Boys Bowling and Boys Soccer highest GPA in NYS for their sport
CR 2008-09: 24 recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams. Boys and Girls Track teams highest GPA in NYS for their sport
CB 2009-10: 22 recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams. Girls cross country, bowling and softball teams highest GPA in NYS for their sport

2010-11: 20 recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams. Three teams with highest average GPA in NYS for their sport
C8 2011-12: 22 out of 27 Varsity Teams Recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams ( 90 or higher GPA). Two teams with highest average GPA in NYS for their sport
CP 2012-2013: 22 out of 27 Varsity Teams Recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams ( 90 or higher GPA). Two teams with highest average GPA in NYS for their sport
C8 2013-14: 21 out of 27 Varsity Teams Recognized as NYS Scholar Athlete teams ( 90 or higher GPA). Three teams with highest average GPA in NYS for their sport
Cs 2014-15: 16 varsity teams honored as NYS Scholar Athlete teams (above 90 avg ) and 1 team was a NYS Scholar Athlete Champion as highest GPA's in the state

## Closing Thoughts

## - 03

$\propto \ll$ We use this data along with formative and summative classroom data to inform our thinking and planning for instruction.
$\propto$ We will continue to analyze and use this data to guide our knowledge and understanding of how we meet expectations in comparison to our neighboring districts.
Ca We will continue to look longitudinally at our students and curriculum to guide ensure that we are addressing and meeting the needs of students.

